

Worksheet
Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA)
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

Project Lead: Ken Nelson, Realty Specialist

Field Office: Sierra Front Field Office

Lead Office: Sierra Front Field Office

Case File/Project Number: NVN 046964

NEPA NUMBER: DOI-BLM-NV-C020-2011-0507-DNA

Project Name: Douglas County Treated Effluent Storage Facility – Right-of-Way Renewal

Applicant Name: Douglas County Sewer District No. 1

Project Location: East Carson Valley near Johnson Lane

A. Description of the Proposed Action and any applicable mitigation measures:

By application received April 21, 2011, Douglas County Sewer District No. 1 applied to renew their right-of-way for the facility. The right-of-way consists of an access road; adjacent 24 in. buried pipeline, and five monitoring wells. No additional improvements were requested in the application. The renewed right-of-way should be granted for a term of 30 years, subject to current applicable terms and conditions. The right-of-way may be renewed upon request at the end of the 30 year term.

B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

List any applicable LUPs and their dates.

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions:

Consolidated Resource Management Plan (May 2001): Lands and Realty, pg. LND -7: Exchanges and minor non-Bureau initiated realty proposals will be considered where analysis indicates they are beneficial to the public.

Name of Plan: NV – Carson City RMP

C. Identify applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents and other related documents that cover the proposed action.

Environmental Assessment - NV-030-91019 - Treated Effluent Storage Facility

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar

to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?

Renewal of existing right-of-way. No new improvements are proposed.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the new proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

The range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) are appropriate with respect to the new proposed action.

3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances (such as, range- land health standard assessment, recent endangered species listings, updated lists of BLM-sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?

No new information or circumstances was presented in regard to the existing analysis. New information or new circumstances brought forward would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action.

4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?

No direct, indirect, and cumulative effects would result from implementation of the new proposed action. The proposed action would only renew the existing right-of-way.

5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?


Public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) are adequate for the current proposed action. No additional public involvement or interagency review is required in regard to the proposed renewal.

E. Persons/Agencies/BLM Staff Consulted


<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Resource/Agency Represented</u>
Jim Carter	Lead Archaeologist	Sierra Front Field Office

Note: Refer to the EA for a complete list of the team members participating in the preparation of the original environmental analysis or planning documents.

Conclusion: Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of the NEPA.



Signature of Project Lead



Signature of NEPA Coordinator



Bryan S. Hockett, Acting Field Manager
Sierra Front Field Office

Date 12/23/2011

Note: The signed Conclusion on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.

Legal Descriptions
NVN 046964

MDM

T. 13 N., R. 20 E.

Sec. 24, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

T. 13 N., R. 21 E.

Sec. 7, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;


Sec. 8, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 18, Lots 1, 2, 4, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 19, Lot 1, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.




Looking northeasterly at access road (right fork) to facility. Road is well maintained and has been freshly graveled.



View of pipeline alignment



Looking west at access road.




Looking west at monitoring well in the SW¼ of Section 18, T13N, R21E.

Photos taken June 10, 2011

Y:\Client Files\0643\0643-016\CAD\Engineering\Exhibits\SUP Reservoir Spills Processing\0643-016 Vicinity.dwg 4/5/2011 10:18:59 AM George W. Stidham

APN: 1321-00-001-026
DOUGLAS COUNTY SEWER
IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 1
1,001 ± ACRES
PINENUT EFFLUENT
STORAGE RESERVOIR

SCALE: 1" = 6,000'




RO Anderson

1603 ESERALDA AVENUE / POST OFFICE BOX 2229
MINDEN, NEVADA 89423
PHONE: (775) 782-2322 / FAX: (775) 782-7084
WEB SITE: WWW.ROANDERSON.COM

VICINITY MAP
D.C.S.I.D. #1 - PINENUT
EFFLUENT STORAGE RESERVOIR

0643-016

04/04/2011



R/O Anderson

1603 ESERALDA AVENUE / POST OFFICE BOX 2229
MINDEN, NEVADA 89423
PHONE: (775) 782-2322 / FAX: (775) 782-7084
WEB SITE: WWW.ROANDERSON.COM

**B.L.M. R/W N-46964
RENEWAL REQUEST**

D.C.S.I.D. BUCKEYE CREEK RESERVOIR
DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA

0643-002

04/07/11